THE IMPACT OF REGULATIONS ON THE FINANCIAL STABILITY OF THE DIGITAL MARKET

Liliana Diana Ciobotariu^{*}

Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iasi, Iasi, Romania

Abstract

This article analyses the impact of recent European financial regulations on the digital financial market, with a focus on balancing system stability and the encouragement of innovation. The main objective is to examine how these new regulations influence fintech companies, traditional banks, and consumers within the context of accelerated digitalisation. The research is based on a qualitative methodology, which includes the analysis of European legislative documents (such as MiCA, DORA, and PSD3), official reports, and specialised academic studies. Key findings include the strengthening of financial market stability and improved consumer protection but also rising compliance costs for fintech's and a slowdown in the innovation process. These regulations place pressure on smaller players, favouring market consolidation and forcing traditional banks to adopt digital technologies to remain competitive. Ultimately, the article highlights how this regulatory framework will continue to shape the European financial landscape, balancing security with the freedom to innovate.

Keywords: financial regulations, financial stability, fintech, consumer protection, financial innovation, financial digitalisation.

JEL Classification: G18, G28, O33, E44

Introduction

The new financial regulations adopted at the European level have a significant impact on the digital market. The European Union is implementing policies aimed at ensuring economic stability, protecting consumers, and preventing systemic risks. In a context marked by accelerated digitalisation, financial regulations are becoming a key factor in shaping the future of the digital ecosystem. Current research in this field focuses on the impact of new regulations on the fintech market, cryptocurrencies, and digital payment services, highlighting both the benefits and challenges they entail.

* Corresponding author, Liliana Diana Ciobotariu - liliana.ciobotariu@student.uaic.ro

The Payment Services Directive 3 (PSD3), the Markets in Crypto-Assets Regulation (MiCA), and the Digital Operational Resilience Act (DORA) are just a few of the recent regulatory frameworks designed to ensure a structured environment for digital financial activities. These measures aim to reduce cybersecurity risks, enhance market transparency, and protect consumer rights in a continuously evolving financial landscape.

In this study, the impact of these regulations on the digital financial market, the advantages and challenges they bring, and how they contribute to the economic stability of the European Union have been analysed. Furthermore, the implications of these policies on the European Union budget, the Budgetary Pact, the stability of the Eurozone, and fiscal policies have been addressed, providing a detailed perspective on their effects on the European economy.

The article is structured in a way that facilitates a progressive understanding of the topic, being divided into five sections. Section I introduces financial regulations and the digitalisation of the financial sector. Section II outlines the research methodology, followed by Section III, which explores the impact of the new regulations on the digital market and the actors involved. Section IV highlights the influence of regulations on innovation and competitiveness in the fintech sector, while Section V presents conclusions and directions for future research. Each section builds progressively, starting from the review of the specialised literature and the applied methodology, continuing with the effects of the regulations on the digital market, innovation, and competitiveness, and concluding with insights and recommendations for further research.

1. Review of the scientific literature

Specialised literature emphasizes the importance of financial innovation and financial inclusion in promoting economic growth and sustainable development. Fintech is recognized as a key element in reducing economic disparities and expanding access to financial services, which in turn facilitates progress toward sustainable development goals. Through the development of innovative technologies, fintech enhances the stability of the banking sector and expands the scope of interbank business, supporting economic growth and innovation (Choudhary et al., 2025). This perspective aligns with the theory that financial innovations drive economic growth by optimizing resource allocation and stimulating investment. However, in certain emerging economies, long-term effects can be negative, as these innovations may create financial instability and even lead to speculative bubbles, ultimately limiting the sustainability of economic growth (Qayyum et al., 2024). This finding is supported by endogenous growth theory, which highlights the necessity of an efficient allocation of financial resources to maintain a steady pace of economic development.

In a broader context, the success of fintech and financial innovation also depends on the institutional framework in which they operate. Economic reforms and regulatory policies play a crucial role in ensuring a competitive environment that facilitates the adoption of financial innovations. Studies indicate that the effectiveness of European directives and reforms in market liberalization largely depends on the existence of prior

national reforms. Thus, in economies where internal reforms were implemented before European directives, their impact on competition was significantly stronger (Crescioli, 2024). This underscores the idea that financial reforms cannot be effective in isolation but rather need to be integrated into a favourable political and economic framework.

The relationship between financial innovation, financial inclusion, and regulatory policies is essential in achieving a balance between economic growth and sustainability. On one hand, fintech and new financial instruments can accelerate economic progress through digitalization and expanded access to financial services. On the other hand, without adequate oversight and coherent regulatory policies, these innovations can generate economic instability, ultimately affecting sustainable development goals.

Therefore, it is essential for policies to integrate not only economic aspects but also ethical and social dimensions, as financial innovations can have indirect consequences on fairness and consumer protection. Thus, regulations must evolve beyond a purely technical framework towards a collaborative governance model that considers the impact on fundamental rights (Cancela-Outeda, 2024).

In this regard, the emergence of a legal framework such as the EU Artificial Intelligence Act (EU AI Act), adopted in May 2024, reflects this trend of combining technological innovation with responsible regulation. Although it is not exclusively focused on fintech, the act is relevant to the financial sector, as many fintech services rely on AI algorithms for credit scoring, fraud detection, or behavioural profiling of customers. A key aspect introduced by this legislation is the assessment of the impact on fundamental rights (FRIA), a tool designed to anticipate and prevent risks associated with the application of technologies in sensitive areas such as personal finance or access to credit (Mantelero, 2024). Furthermore, the emphasis on responsibility and balancing innovation with legal protection shows that European regulations can only function effectively when they are built collaboratively, involving all social and economic stakeholders (Cancela-Outeda, 2024).

Therefore, in the current context of the digital economy, the success of fintech is determined not only by technological development but also by the quality and relevance of the regulatory framework in which it operates. Only through well-calibrated policies that consider the complexity of financial reality, and the ethical implications of technology can a sustainable and trustworthy ecosystem be built.

2. Research methodology

This research utilizes a qualitative, exploratory methodology, suitable for analysing the impact of recent European financial regulations on the digital market and economic stability, a complex topic that requires a deep understanding of the interaction between legislative policies, technological transformations, and economic dynamics in the context of accelerated digitalization. The qualitative approach was chosen to allow a detailed analysis and nuanced interpretation of the phenomenon in question. The primary tool used in the research was content analysis, applied to the relevant regulatory framework and an extensive body of current scientific literature, to capture both theoretical and empirical perspectives related to transformations in the digital financial sector. The document analysis focused on significant European regulations, such as the MiCA Regulation (Markets in Crypto-Assets), PSD3 Directive, DORA (Digital

Operational Resilience Act), and the EU AI Act, complemented by reports and strategies from key European Union institutions, such as the European Commission, the European Central Bank (ECB), and the European Banking Authority (EBA).

A key element of the methodology was the analysis of specialized scientific articles, selected based on their thematic relevance and theoretical contribution to understanding the phenomenon of regulation in the digital context. These academic sources provided the necessary framework for the critical interpretation of legislative measures and for integrating concepts related to innovation, financial inclusion, collaborative governance, and digital ethics.

The research was built around two central hypotheses:

Hypothesis 1 posits that European regulations contribute to strengthening financial stability in the digital environment by increasing transparency, security, and trust in the financial system.

Hypothesis 2 addresses the potential adverse effects of regulations on innovation and fintech competitiveness, particularly in the context of bureaucratic constraints and high compliance costs.

The research process was structured in three analytical stages:

Stage I involved a retrospective analysis of European regulations, tracking the transition from traditional financial policies to those oriented towards digitalization, with a focus on legislative changes and their impact on financial markets.

Stage II explored how new policies affect the stability of the digital financial system, focusing on aspects such as operational resilience, cybersecurity, data protection, and the reduction of systemic risks, including in the context of adopting artificial intelligence in financial processes.

Stage III aimed to identify the main challenges and opportunities generated by the new regulations, analysing their impact on the innovation capacity of startups, SMEs' access to finance, and the degree of financial inclusion in disadvantaged areas.

The studies analysed were selected based on their direct relevance to the research topic and the authority of the source, prioritising recent European regulations and reports from key European Union institutions. This selection ensured a comprehensive and upto-date approach to the subject, focusing on sources that reflect the latest legislative changes and trends in the digital financial sector. However, a limitation of the method used is the inherent subjectivity of content analysis, which may influence data interpretation, and the document selection was limited to sources accessible and published in the official languages of the European Union, which may exclude some valuable perspectives from non-EU sources or less representative languages. Furthermore, the chosen qualitative approach does not allow for obtaining precise quantitative data, making it more suitable for a critical and in-depth interpretation of the financial regulation phenomenon in the digital context.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. The Impact of European Regulations on Financial Stability, Digital Inclusion, and Accessibility of Financial Services

The progressive implementation of European regulations in the digital finance sector has been a pivotal step in strengthening the EU's economic architecture. Their main goal has been to establish a unified, secure, and competitive framework for financial markets at a time when digitalization has fundamentally reshaped interactions between service providers and consumers. Directives such as PSD2 (Payment Services Directive 2), the MiCA Regulation (Markets in Crypto-Assets), GDPR (General Data Protection Regulation), and DORA (Digital Operational Resilience Act) have collectively enhanced economic stability, reduced systemic risks, and bolstered trust in the digital ecosystem.

The effects of these regulations are evident both macro- and micro economically. At the macro level, increased transparency and reduced market volatility have been recorded, while at the micro level, responsible financial practices and stronger consumer protections have been encouraged. For instance, the introduction of Strong Customer Authentication (SCA) under PSD2 has significantly curtailed online payment fraud, and MiCA has provided a clear legal framework for digital assets, allowing the crypto market to develop in a safer, more predictable environment. Data-protection and technological-resilience requirements have compelled fintech firms to invest in advanced security systems, thereby reducing hacking incidents and creating a more robust digital landscape.

However, these benefits have not been uniformly distributed across the EU. Member states with well-established legislative frameworks- such as Germany, Sweden, and the Netherlands- have adapted swiftly and efficiently, treating new requirements as opportunities for modernization and capital attraction. Their strong domestic regulatory preparation has accelerated the integration of EU-wide measures, stabilized markets, attracted investment, and stimulated innovation. In contrast, countries with limited digital infrastructure and fragmented regulations-such as Romania, Bulgaria, and Greece-have faced substantial challenges. Compliance with EU standards has demanded significant investments in technology, security, and administrative processes, driving up operating costs and undermining competitiveness in external markets. These structural disparities underscore the need for targeted institutional support and harmonization policies to narrow gaps between member states and ensure equitable development of the Digital Single Market.

Beyond stability, a further key objective of EU regulation has been to expand accessibility and foster financial inclusion. By digitalizing banking services and clarifying the legal framework, a broader range of individuals and small enterprises have gained access to modern financial products. SMEs and startups have benefited from improved financing opportunities via digital platforms, yet they continue to confront significant barriers in the form of compliance costs and stringent capital and security requirements. This creates a paradox: although regulations aim to foster competition and innovation, they can inhibit the very startups and small players they intend to support, as these firms often lack the resources to meet complex licensing, documentation, and bureaucratic demands. Over time, this dynamic can lead to market concentration and a decline in diversity and innovation within the fintech sector.

Uniform regulations applied across the EU may also impair the agility of fintech companies when testing and deploying new business models-particularly in emerging

areas such as asset tokenization, decentralized payments, or AI-driven transaction analysis. The absence of flexible mechanisms, like regulatory sandboxes or proportionate regimes, risks eroding the EU's competitive edge compared to jurisdictions that allow controlled experimentation. To ensure a sustainable and equitable digital finance market, regulations must become more adaptable and differentiated. Designing a legal framework that reflects the size, maturity, and innovation potential of different firms is crucial for encouraging innovation while safeguarding consumer protection. Government support-through digitalization programs, fiscal incentives, and public-private partnerships-can lower the costs of transition and facilitate the integration of emerging technologies.

Finally, close collaboration between regulators and industry stakeholders is essential. A participatory policymaking approach-featuring regular consultations and feedback from fintech's-can yield a more balanced and effective regulatory framework. By combining robust oversight with flexibility and co-creation, Europe can maintain its leadership in financial-technology regulation without stifling the sector's innovation and dynamism.

3.2. European Regulations and Their Impact on Financial Stability

In recent years, the European Union has implemented a complex framework of financial regulations aimed at strengthening the stability of the economic system and reducing risks associated with emerging markets, such as digital assets. Among the most significant measures adopted are the Markets in Crypto-Assets Regulation (MiCA) and the Digital Operational Resilience Act (DORA), both of which have had a profound impact on the functioning of financial institutions, particularly in terms of cybersecurity and market transparency.

	Positive Effects	Positive Effects
Market stability	Increasing transparency and	Slowing the pace of
	trust in the financial system	innovation and adaptation
		of new technologies
Fintech's	Increasing security and	High regulatory costs,
	compliance standards	affect small startups
Consumer protection	Reducing the risks of fraud and	More bureaucratic
	loss of funds	processes for accessing
		financial services
Traditional banks	Adapting to new technologies	Increasing competition
	and digitalizing services	from regulated fintech's
Accessibility of	More choices for consumers,	Increasing costs of
financial services	including secure digital	financial services due to
	services	regulations

Table No. 1. Benefits an	l Costs of Digital Financial Market Regulation
rubic rior ri Denemus un	Costs of Digital I maneral filather Regulation

Source: Arner et al. (2017); Zetzsche et al. (2020); European Banking Authority (2023); World Bank (2022)

MiCA introduces a clear set of rules for the crypto-assets sector, providing greater security for both investors and institutions operating in this field. The regulation establishes obligations for digital currency issuers and crypto service providers, requiring them to adhere to strict transparency and consumer protection standards. A key aspect of this legislation is the control over stablecoins, which can have a significant impact on traditional financial markets. By imposing stringent reserve and audit requirements for these assets, the risk of collapses that could affect the entire financial system is reduced. Furthermore, by integrating cryptocurrencies into a well-defined legal framework, new opportunities emerge for banks and financial institutions to operate in this sector in a safe and regulated manner.

At the same time, DORA strengthens protection against cyber risks, which have become an increasing threat to the European financial system. This regulation requires banks, investment funds, and other financial institutions to enhance their IT security systems and implement risk management strategies related to digital service providers. Institutions must also be prepared to handle cyberattacks through regular testing and rapid recovery measures in case of incidents. These requirements are crucial for maintaining confidence in the financial system and preventing economic disruptions caused by digital vulnerabilities.

Beyond these technical regulations, the European Union is also strongly focused on strengthening fiscal oversight. New control mechanisms aim to reduce tax evasion and prevent money laundering through stricter monitoring of financial flows. By increasing transparency and imposing more rigorous reporting requirements for financial institutions, a more stable economic framework is ensured, allowing member states to manage budgetary resources more effectively.

All these measures contribute directly to the financial stability of the eurozone, influencing fiscal policy and economic oversight mechanisms at the European level. These regulations reflect a collective action by the European Union to prevent systemic risks and ensure the safer and more transparent functioning of financial markets.

3.3. Consumers and the Accessibility of Financial Services

The new European financial regulations have a direct impact on consumers and the accessibility of financial services, aiming to create a safer, more transparent, and fairer environment for users. These regulations seek to protect consumer rights, ensure broader and more equitable access to financial services, and support the adoption of innovative digital financial solutions that meet their diverse needs.

First and foremost, a significant part of recent regulations focuses on consumer protection by enforcing clear standards of transparency and financial information security. The Markets in Crypto-Assets Regulation (MiCA) and the Digital Operational Resilience Act (DORA) establish strict requirements for personal data protection and fraud prevention. This contributes to increasing consumer trust in online financial services. Through these regulations, consumers benefit from a safer environment in which they can transact, save, and invest without fearing cybersecurity risks or financial losses due to fraudulent activities.

The

Regulations require banks and fintech companies to adapt their financial products to the needs of consumers from various social segments, offering, for example, more accessible payment options, simplified saving tools, and flexible lending solutions.

In this regard, European regulations play a crucial role in combating financial exclusion by fostering balanced competition in the digital financial services market and supporting universal access to basic financial services. Additionally, emphasis is placed on financial education by promoting platforms that help consumers better understand the available financial products and services, as well as the associated risks. This enables greater autonomy in financial decision-making and in protecting consumer interests.

Moreover, European regulations encourage access to innovative financial services, including cryptocurrencies and blockchain technologies. MiCA, for instance, regulates the cryptocurrency market by establishing clear rules for issuers and financial service providers in this sector. This offers consumers more investment and trading options while also providing greater guarantees regarding their protection.

3.4. The Impact of European Regulations on Financial Stability and Digital Market Security: A Comparative Analysis of Germany, France, and Italy

The new European regulations have been designed to reduce systemic risks, increase transparency, and strengthen security in the digital financial sector. This analysis explores how these policies are being implemented in member states such as Germany, France, and Italy, evaluating their effects on banks, fintech companies, and the cryptocurrency market.

Germany and France, two of the most influential economies in the European Union, adopt distinct approaches in applying regulations. Germany stands out through a strict legislative framework and rigorous implementation, ensuring close market supervision. The Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (BaFin) has imposed stringent conditions for obtaining crypto sector licenses, thereby limiting the number of market participants but increasing confidence in the system. For example, Crypto Finance (Deutschland) GmbH obtained four licenses from BaFin, authorizing trading, custody, and settlement services.

In contrast, France has opted for a more permissive framework that supports innovation and facilitates startup access through a simplified registration system managed by the AMF. This strategy has led to rapid growth in the crypto sector, with revenue projections for 2025 reaching \$1.2 billion and an annual growth rate of 6.1% (as of July 2024). Naturally, this openness has also attracted risks. In the first half of 2024, cyberattacks caused losses of over \$1.38 billion, compared to \$657 million during the same period in 2023.

Italy, meanwhile, has taken a middle-ground approach. Although it has faced challenges in digitalizing its financial sector, the implementation of European regulations has been marked by efforts to improve security and attract investment in fintech. However, the pace of technological adoption remains slower, and regulations have been applied more gradually, especially in the cryptocurrency sector. Comparing these models highlights the tension between the need to protect systemic stability and the desire to encourage innovation. While Germany has prioritized stability over rapid expansion, France has favoured market dynamism, accepting higher security risks. Italy seeks a balance between the two.

Another key aspect is the impact on financial inclusion. European regulations promote equal access to financial services across all member states, creating a unified financial space. Through the harmonization of rules and fees, consumers can access the same services regardless of their location, benefiting from innovative digital solutions such as instant payments, robo-advisors, or automated lending. At the same time, regulations increase consumer protection but also raise compliance costs for fintech firms. In 2024, over 60% of fintech companies reported at least a 30% increase in operating expenses, primarily due to AML and GDPR compliance. Trading platforms are now required to provide clear information about risks and to ensure the protection of investors' funds-contributing to a reduction in "pump and dump" schemes and phishing attacks.

Although these measures hinder the development of small and medium-sized startups, they help reinforce trust in the market. According to ENISA, attacks on financial institutions decreased by 15% in 2024 thanks to the implementation of DORA, while liquidity on regulated crypto platforms increased by 20% compared to 2023. On the other hand, regulations have made investors more cautious toward DeFi solutions and fintech's that are not fully compliant. Many decentralised platforms have modified their business models or postponed the launch of new services.

Therefore, European regulations have reshaped the digital financial landscape in 2024, strengthening security and consumer protection, while simultaneously creating significant barriers to innovation and competition within the fintech sector.

Regulatory	Description	Estimated Impact
MiCA	Regulates crypto-assets and	Increasing investor
(Markets in	associated service providers to	confidence, stimulating
Crypto-Assets	protect investors and maintain	innovation.
Regulation)	financial stability.	
Data Law	Regulates data access and reuse	Increase EU GDP by €270
	for equitable distribution of	billion by 2028; annual
	value.	savings of €120 billion in the
		health sector. At the EU level.
Basel III Final	Strengthening the resilience of	Reducing the risk of banking
Reforms	the banking system through	crises; economic impact still
	stricter capital requirements.	under assessment.
FinTech and	Increasing licensing	Diversification of financial
Crypto active	applications for FinTech banks	services, increased activity in
Authorisation	and crypto activities in the EU,	the crypto sector
	especially in Germany.	

 Table no. 2. Economic Impact of Regulations on the Digital Financial Market in the EU

Source: European Commission (2023); European Central Bank (2023); BaFin (2023); European Parliament (2024)

In 2024, European financial regulations began to significantly shape the future of the digital financial market, offering both opportunities and complex challenges for market players. According to a 2024 report by the European Union Agency for Cybersecurity (ENISA), the number of attacks targeting financial institutions decreased by 15% compared to the previous year due to the implementation of the DORA regulations. This has strengthened investor and consumer confidence. A concrete example of market stabilization is the increase in liquidity in regulated crypto markets. In 2024, regulated cryptocurrency trading platforms saw a 20% increase in trading volume compared to 2023, suggesting greater user and investor confidence in a safer and more regulated environment.

3.5. Increased compliance costs for fintech's, but greater consumer protection

In 2024, reports from the fintech sector highlighted a 50% increase in compliance costs compared to 2023, particularly in data protection and the implementation of anti-money laundering (AML) procedures. According to a study conducted by Deloitte, 60% of European fintech companies stated that recent regulations forced them to increase their operational expenses by at least 30% due to the implementation of security and consumer protection measures. These additional costs could negatively impact the profitability and growth of small and medium-sized fintech companies, which are already facing fierce competition from larger players who can afford these expenses. However, at the same time, such regulations ensure better consumer protection by limiting fraud risks and guaranteeing greater transparency in financial activities. A relevant example is the regulation of cryptocurrency activities, where consumer protection has been improved by requiring trading platforms to provide clear information about the risks involved in cryptocurrency transactions and to ensure a minimum level of security for investors' funds. These measures have undeniably led to a reduction in "pump and dump" frauds and phishing attacks, thus protecting millions of European users.

In 2024, the annual report of the European Venture Capital Association (EVCA) showed that investors have become more cautious in supporting technological innovations. Fintech companies that did not fully align with regulatory requirements faced difficulties in attracting investment capital. Additionally, in the DeFi sector, European regulations have pushed many platforms to modify their business models to comply with the requirements, leading to a significant decrease in the number of new decentralized financial solutions launched in 2024.

3.6. Challenges and Opportunities of Recent Regulations in the Context of a Safer European Financial Market for Small Players

European financial regulations play an important role in creating a safer and more wellregulated market, but they have also brought major challenges for small players in the fintech sector. Large companies had the advantage of having sufficient resources to meet legal requirements and invest in cybersecurity measures, which enabled them to comply more easily and remain competitive. In contrast, startups and small fintech's, with fewer financial resources, struggled to cope with the complexity of the regulations. Many were forced to scale back their operations or even shut down due to high costs and the difficulty of meeting requirements related to consumer protection, anti-money laundering (AML), and data security.

For example, according to a 2024 ENISA report, 30% of small fintech's faced major difficulties in meeting data protection and cybersecurity requirements, which forced them to reduce operations or exit the market. In this context, many small firms were absorbed by large banks or investors with significant capital, leading to market consolidation and a decline in diversity and competition. Especially in the cryptocurrency and digital assets sector, many small platforms failed to obtain the licenses required to operate legally in the European Union, prompting their withdrawal from the market. In contrast, large banks and already regulated companies capitalized on these changes to strengthen their market positions and expand into the digital space.

Another important benefit has been the influence of regulations on financial inclusion. Digital financial services such as online payments, digital lending, and asset management via mobile apps have become significantly more accessible, particularly for populations in underserved areas, helping to reduce financial gaps and offering opportunities to people who might not otherwise have access to traditional banking services. However, risks remain associated with overregulation, which may reduce the flexibility of fintech companies and hinder the development of new financial technologies. For instance, overly restrictive regulations on cryptocurrencies and digital assets may discourage innovation in this field, limiting the potential of emerging solutions like smart contracts and asset tokenization. Furthermore, high compliance requirements may benefit large players that have the necessary resources to meet them, while excluding or limiting the growth potential of startups.

Although European regulations have contributed to a safer and more regulated financial market, they have had varying effects on market participants, especially on small startups and fintech's, which have faced significant challenges in meeting legal requirements.

In the European context, financial regulations must consider the diversity of markets and the specific characteristics of each national economy. For example, in Germany, strict regulations have protected financial system stability but have limited the entry of new players, discouraging innovation and rapid startup development. While such a rigid framework can be beneficial in some circumstances, to foster innovation and competitiveness, a better balance between regulation and flexibility is needed. One possible solution could be the implementation of a differentiated licensing system, allowing startups to operate under temporary supervision until obtaining a full license. This would stimulate the development of the fintech sector while preserving market stability.

In France, the more flexible approach in the field of cryptocurrencies and digital assets has allowed rapid sector growth, but has also exposed the market to higher risks, such as money laundering and financial fraud. These risks highlight the need for more robust compliance and control mechanisms that protect market integrity without obstructing the fast development of innovations. In this regard, France could tighten due diligence requirements and checks for new companies- especially in the crypto sector- to better safeguard the market without compromising its dynamism.

At the European level, these two models suggest that there is no one-size-fits-all solution. Each country must adopt regulation adapted to the specific characteristics of its local market while also aligning with the EU's common standards to ensure fair competition and deeper integration of the digital financial market. Regulations should also aim to increase accessibility and financial inclusion, considering the rapid digitalization of financial systems and their impact on populations in underserved regions. This could be encouraged through policies that support fintech's in developing innovative solutions to meet the financial needs of the most vulnerable users.

At the same time, it is essential for the European Union to find a balance between strict regulation and the flexibility required to support innovation. Overregulation can discourage small fintech companies and startups, limiting the digital sector's potential, while excessive deregulation may threaten the security and stability of financial markets. In this context, the findings should emphasize the importance of a balanced legislative framework that supports innovation while protecting market stability, ensuring consumer protection, and maintaining the integrity of the European financial system.

Conclusions

The rapid transformations in the digital financial sector have prompted the European Union to adopt a complex set of regulations to address major challenges, including the proliferation of cryptocurrencies, the emergence of fintech companies, growing cybersecurity risks, and the need to protect consumers. Recent legislative initiatives such as MiCA, DORA, and PSD3 represent a significant step in developing a modern financial architecture that must balance innovation with stability.

The purpose of these regulations is to strengthen trust, transparency, and security in the digital financial ecosystem. However, they also generate significant side effects, particularly for early stage fintech's. These companies face difficulties in complying with a complex and costly legal framework, which often imposes limitations on their flexibility and adaptability. Although regulations are essential for safeguarding markets and consumers, compliance challenges can force many fintech's to adjust their business models and development plans. At the same time, regulations have contributed to reducing systemic risks and enhancing cybersecurity, particularly in the context of the accelerated digitalization of the financial sector. Traditional financial institutions, such as banks and supervisory authorities, have managed to redefine their roles, becoming not only stabilizing actors but also catalysts for collaboration with fintech's. This dynamic can contribute to building a safe and innovative European financial market.

Following the analysis of European financial regulations and their impact on the fintech sector, the study highlights key contributions to understanding both the challenges and opportunities brought by the new regulatory framework. The research has demonstrated how regulations such as MiCA, DORA, and PSD3 have placed significant pressure on small fintech's, forcing them to adapt their business models and invest in costly solutions to meet legal requirements. This pressure is contrasted by the positive impact of the regulations on reducing systemic risks and strengthening cybersecurity, particularly in a rapidly digitalizing environment.

Through a comparative analysis of regulatory approaches in Germany and France, the study identified significant differences in how each country manages the balance between financial protection and the promotion of innovation. As a result, the study proposed specific solutions to stimulate the development of the fintech sector under balanced regulation- such as introducing a differentiated licensing system in Germany or tightening due diligence requirements in France for the crypto sector.

In addition, the analysis highlighted the need for adaptive regulations that can respond quickly to technological change, as well as the importance of an intelligent regulatory framework that allows innovation without compromising financial stability. The contribution of this study lies in clarifying how European regulations can support a sustainable fintech ecosystem through flexible approaches and strategies tailored to the specific characteristics of the European market.

References

[1] Ao, Z. & Ji, X., 2025. Strategic cooperation in fintech field and efficiency of commercial banks. North American Journal of Economics and Finance, 76, p.102377. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.najef.2025.102377.

[2] Barbe, O., Didelot, L. & Ashta, A., 2014. Post-IFRS evaluation of evolution of Tax and Financial Reporting relationships based on the French case. Research in Accounting Regulation, 26, pp.242–256. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.racreg.2014.09.002</u>.

[3] Cancela-Outeda, C. (2024). *The EU's AI Act: A framework for collaborative governance. Internet of Things*, 27, 101291. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iot.2024.101291</u>

[4] Choudhary, P., Ghosh, C. & Thenmozhi, M., 2025. Impact of fintech and financial inclusion on sustainable development goals: Evidence from cross country analysis. Finance Research Letters, 72, p.106573. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2024.106573</u>.

[5] Crescioli, T., 2024. Reinforcing each other: How the combination of European and domestic reforms increased competition in liberalized industries. European Journal of Political Economy, 83, p.102552. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2024.102552</u>.

[6] Eisenbeis, R.A. & Kaufman, G.G., 2008. Cross-border banking and financial stability in the EU. Journal of Financial Stability, 4, pp.168–204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfs.2007.09.004.

[7] European Union Agency for Cybersecurity (ENISA) (2024) 2024 Report on the state of cybersecurity in the Union. In cooperation with the NIS Cooperation Group and the European Commission, in accordance with Article 18 of the Directive (EU) 2022/2555 (NIS2). Available at: <u>2024 Report on the State of Cybersecurity in the Union</u> <u>- Condensed version.pdf</u> (Accessed: 27.02.2025).

[8] Guo, J., Yu, J. & Tian, Z., 2025. Financial technology, population aging, and corporate innovation. International Review of Financial Analysis, 100, p.103956. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2025.103956.

[9] Himounet, N., 2022. Searching the nature of uncertainty: Macroeconomic and financial risks VS geopolitical and pandemic risks. International Economics, 170, pp.1–3. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inteco.2022.02.010</u>.

[10] Kakar, S.K., Wang, J., Arshed, N., Hien, T.T.L., Akhter, S. & Abdullahi, N.M., 2025. The impact of circular economy, sustainable infrastructure, and green FinTech on

biodiversity in Europe: A holistic approach. Technology in Society, 81, p.102841. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2025.102841.

[11] Liu, Z., Li, R., Zhou, J. & Liu, Y., 2025. The risk management effect of bank fintech: Evidence from stock price crash risk. International Review of Financial Analysis, 99, p.103939. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2025.103939</u>.

[12] Mantelero, A. (2024). The Fundamental Rights Impact Assessment (FRIA) in the AI Act: Roots, legal obligations and key elements for a model template. Computer Law & Security Review, 54, 106020. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2024.106020</u>

[13] Ouriemmi, O. & Gérard, B., 2023. Control dynamics in rogue trading: Sovereignty and exception-to-the-rule attitudes in the contemporary financial sphere. Critical Perspectives on Accounting, 91, p.102414. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2021.102414</u>.

[14] Qayyum, A., Arslan, A., Malik, A.H., Khan, S.N., Rehman, A.U., Asim, M., Ahmad, S. & ElAffendi, M.A., 2025. Financial innovation can hamper the sustainable economic growth: A tale of two emerging economies. Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity, 11, p.100446. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joitmc.2024.100446.

[15] Sebai, M., Talbi, O. & Guerchi-Mehri, H., 2025. Optimal financial inclusion for financial stability: Empirical insight from developing countries. Finance Research Letters, 71, p.106467. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2024.106467</u>.

[16] Singh, S.K. & Salva, 2025. Is the relationship between financial globalization and financial stability heterogeneous? Evidence from emerging markets and developing economies. Journal of Multinational Financial Management, 77, p.100899. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mulfin.2025.100899.

[17] Xiao, B. & Li, H., 2025. Financial efficiency, green innovation and green total factor productivity. Finance Research Letters, 76, p.107005. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2025.107005.

[18] Yang, R.X. & Liu, Z., 2025. Fintech and urban entrepreneurial activity. Finance Research Letters, 75, p.106661. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2024.106661</u>.

[19] Yin, H., Wang, J., Cai, C. & Zhang, Z., 2025. Strong financial regulation, shadow banking, and enterprise innovation inputs: A quasi-natural experiment based on the introduction of the "new regulation on asset management". International Review of Financial Analysis, 99, p.103949. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2025.103949</u>.

[20] You, W. & Liu, B., 2025. ESG performance, bank fintech and risk-taking. Finance Research Letters, 74, p.106776. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2025.106776</u>.

[21] Zheng, L., Huang, X. & Lu, X., 2025. Nonbank financial institutions and financial stability: Time series analysis. Finance Research Letters, 73, p.106544. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2024.106544.

[22] https://www.consilium.europa.eu